Drug products subjected to degradation because of environmental stresses can be salvaged if proper packaging and protection is provided by sorbents. By the time the drug product is handed from the formulation chemists to the packaging engineers, mechanisms of degradation, such as hydrolysis, oxidation, dehydration, isomerization, racemization, elimination and photodegradation, are usually known. It is then up to the packaging engineers to plan a ‘defence’ against these degradation pathways.

In accordance with various regulatory guidelines, stability studies are required to prove that a drug will maintain its physical and chemical characteristics during a given time frame (expiration dating) to ensure the safety, identity, strength, purity and quality of the medicines. The International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) has published a widely known guidance document regarding the outline of such stability studies.1

Whether dealing with a new drug application for innovators or an abbreviated new drug application (ANDA) for generics, time is money and quickly bringing a quality product to market is key. This is particularly true for generic companies filing ANDAs for reference listed drugs, as they are in competition for the 180-day exclusivity provisions set forth by the Hatch-Waxman Amendments to the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetics Act.2

Many modern drug substances and products are susceptible to environmental humidity, and may physically or chemically degrade, or lose potency and efficacy when exposed to atmospheric moisture. Although higher barrier packaging can combat this issue, it is often cost-prohibitive compared with less expensive packaging solutions, such as high-density polyethylene (HDPE) bottles and incorporating sorbents.

Historically, basic calculations were made for products requiring a sorbent regarding sorbent selection for registration stability lots. A sorbent-ranging study was performed prior to this to determine whether or not the desiccant recommended and its quantity were correct.
Performing these additional characterization tests, such as dissolution, assay and degradant monitoring, takes time and money. Our goal was to eliminate sorbent-ranging studies or ‘guesswork’ by creating a predictive model of moisture permeation and adsorption to determine the appropriate sorbent and its amount to achieve shelf-life targets for given pharmaceutical formulations and dosage forms.

**The scientific model**
A pseudo-empirical modelling programme has been developed by Multisorb Technologies to mathematically predict the stability outcomes of testing. No model can truly replace empirical testing, but the programme decreases excessive testing, and demonstrates.

**Table 3** Summary of simulations and customer data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Note</th>
<th>Product count</th>
<th>Bottle size</th>
<th>Desiccant (silica gel)</th>
<th>Predicted package ERH (drug product moisture content)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>180 Days</td>
<td>365 Days</td>
<td>545 Days</td>
<td>730 Days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation 350</td>
<td>Actual data</td>
<td>160-cc</td>
<td>2 g</td>
<td>26.0% (0.93%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation 30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>160-cc</td>
<td>2 g</td>
<td>−28.0% (1.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual data 30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>75-cc</td>
<td>2 g</td>
<td>13.5% (0.51%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation 30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>75-cc</td>
<td>2 g</td>
<td>−13.5% (0.51%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation 30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>75-cc</td>
<td>1.5 g</td>
<td>15.5% (0.58%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation 30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>75-cc</td>
<td>1 g</td>
<td>19.0% (0.70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerated simulations (40 C/75% RH)</td>
<td>30 Days</td>
<td>60 Days</td>
<td>90 Days</td>
<td>180 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation 350</td>
<td>160-cc</td>
<td>2 g</td>
<td></td>
<td>27.5% (0.94%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation 30</td>
<td>75-cc</td>
<td>2 g</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.0% (0.45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation 30</td>
<td>75-cc</td>
<td>1.5 g</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.0% (0.52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation 30</td>
<td>75-cc</td>
<td>1 g</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.0% (0.62%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1** A typical bottle container system.

- **Water content versus water activity**
  Moisture can play a critical role in solid-state degradation. There are two
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kinds of water potentially present in a given drug product: bound water and ‘free’ or ‘available’ water. Bound water (i.e., water of crystallization) is an integral part of a molecule and may not be available for chemical activity. The energy of activation required to release this moisture is not usually achieved by solely lowering the RH or adding a desiccant. Unbound or ‘free’ water is loosely held by powder particles and available for chemical activity. The energy of activation required to release this moisture can be achieved by lowering the RH or adding a desiccant.

Water content is an extensive property of a given material. The quantitative measurement of water is typically performed by Karl Fischer titration, near-infrared spectroscopy or loss on drying (LOD). Karl Fischer titrations have dominated the measurement of water in pharmaceutical products for many years. The Karl Fischer method measures surface moisture (unbound moisture) and most types of bound moisture. For some products, this means that the Karl Fischer method will measure more moisture than the gravimetric method.

Water activity (aw) or ERH (aw /\text{H}_{\text{1000}} \times 100 \text{%}) and expressed as a percentage) is derived from the fundamental principles of thermodynamics and physical chemistry. aw is an intensive property of a material and a qualitative measurement of the energy status of water in a system determined by equilibrating the liquid phase water in the sample with the vapour phase water in the headspace and measuring the RH of the headspace.

Water content and aw can be correlated by analysing the adsorption/desorption isotherm of a material. Sorption isotherms delineate a substance’s affinity for moisture at varying RH and temperature.

By determining the water content and water activity of a product and generating temperature-dependent sorption isotherms for all components in a package system, it is possible to model the internal conditions of the package system with time given constant external temperature and RH conditions. The entire package, including drug product and desiccant, acts as a complete system and will reach equilibrium with time. For packaging configurations that exclude desiccants, the moisture that ingresses through the bottle with time is adsorbed only by the drug product and evidenced by a higher ERH within the bottle during the stability testing time. For packaging configurations that include a desiccant, the desiccant will first adsorb moisture within the headspace (minimal). Because of the adsorption characteristics of the drug product versus the desiccant, the desiccant will adsorb moisture from the drug product. The drug product in proximity to the desiccant will desorb moisture in a shorter period of time than the drug product located further away from the desiccant. The moisture that ingresses through the bottle with time will be adsorbed by the drug product and desiccant according to their respective sorption isotherms.

Example

A pharmaceutical company asked for recommendations for a specific drug product that was experiencing degradation with time. In total, 30 tablets were examined. The drug product samples were representative of the physical and chemical characteristics as would be at the time of commercial packaging.

The company achieved acceptable stability results in one package configuration, but an undesired negative trend in another. Therefore, the goal of this evaluation was to provide supporting data for a desiccant recommendation for the one bottle that would most closely mirror that of the other bottle. The tablets were weighed on a Model AG245 analytical balance (Mettler-Toledo, OH, USA) and an average weight of 260 mg was obtained with a relative standard deviation of 0.86%. Samples of the product were crushed in a mortar and pestle to obtain aw of the product at 25 °C and 40 °C using a Series 3TE Water Activity Meter (Decagon Devices Inc., WA, USA). The samples were allowed to equilibrate in the instrument for 15–17 min while continuous readings were taken and a constant value was obtained. Prior to testing, the instrument was calibrated.

### Table 1 Water activity measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>aw @ 25 °C</th>
<th>aw @ 40 °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calibration</td>
<td>0.252 (target 0.250 ± 0.003)</td>
<td>0.268 (target 0.266 ± 0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug product</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>0.298</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 2 Drug product sorption isotherms at 25 and 40 °C.
using a LiCl 13.41 molal solution in water. The resultant values are listed in Table 1.

Accelerated stability testing and real time stability testing are generally performed per ICH-prescribed conditions of 40 °C /75% RH for 6 months and 25 °C/60% RH for the duration of the expiration date label claim (typically 2 years), respectively. Recognizing this, water vapour sorption testing of the drug product was performed at 25 °C and 40 °C. Using a symmetrical gravimetric analyser, the water vapour adsorption of the product was characterized from 10% to 90% RH in 10% increments. The equilibrium criteria were established such that the weight percent change of the tablet was <0.005% in 10 min or after a period of 300 min as maximum. These parameters defined the conditions necessary to progress from one RH step to the next. The data logging interval was every 2 min or a 0.200% change in weight.

For each experiment, one tablet was crushed with a mortar and pestle and the entire contents placed onto the weighing pan in the instrument. The sample was preconditioned within the instrument at their respective testing temperatures (40 °C or 25 °C) and <2% RH for a period of time to remove residual moisture and obtain a baseline of water vapour adsorption. The baseline is used to compare the drug product ERH with respect to residual moisture content. The moisture removed from the tablet during the preconditioning process is usually a good indication of how much moisture a desiccant could potentially remove. The reported bottle wall thickness is the minimum wall thickness at midpoint of the bottle. As there was no tolerance for thickness provided on the 160-cc bottle drawing, the worst case minimum value plus 0.25 mm was used in all calculations and simulations.

Table 2 Actual drug product moisture content (real time stability testing).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bottle</th>
<th>t=0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-cc</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160-cc</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 160-cc versus 75-cc comparison, simulated versus actual data real time (25 °C/60% RH).

of the drug product, the following assumptions and principles applied:

- The water vapour permeability for the Marlex resin is 0.381 g × mL/(100 in² × day); nominal value at test conditions of 38 °C/90% RH), and 0.085 g × mL/(10 in² × day; nominal value at test conditions of 23 °C/60% RH). The reported bottle wall thickness is the minimum wall thickness at midpoint of the bottle. As there was no tolerance for thickness provided on the 160-cc bottle drawing, the worst case minimum value plus 0.25 mm was used in all calculations and simulations. For the 75-cc bottle,

- The reported bottle wall thickness is the minimum wall thickness at midpoint of the bottle. As there was no tolerance for thickness provided on the 160-cc bottle drawing, the worst case minimum value plus 0.25 mm was used in all calculations and simulations. For the 75-cc bottle,
that could possibly occur in the molding or induction sealing process of the bottle.

- There are time constraints and limitations when performing isotherms on drug products in the finished tablet form. To compensate for the relatively short period of time a tablet will be subjected to given conditions within the gravimetric symmetrical analyser, as compared with extended periods of time in a container, the tablet was crushed prior to testing. This method increases surface area and accelerates the adsorption/desorption of the tablet. The reasoning behind this test is that, with extended periods of time (days/months), the moisture available to the tablet will eventually migrate and equilibrate throughout the entire tablet. The isotherms for the crushed tablets were used in all simulations.

- Fundamentally, as the adsorption isotherms did not display significant desorption moving from 0% to 10% RH, it was assumed that the drug product was sufficiently dried and a good baseline was established. Therefore, variations in moisture content of the drug product, assuming no chemical changes occurred, would only vary the initial equilibrium RH of the drug product, which was determined by evaluating the isotherm’s X and Y axes. Slight variations in moisture content during formulation and/or processing of the drug product will alter the initial ERH slightly, but should not significantly affect the simulations as presented.

- The simulations account for steady-state conditions and equilibrium capacity of the drug product and desiccant. The ‘initial RH of packaging system’ is considered instantaneous. In actuality and not shown in the simulations, the ERH will gradually decrease and then increase with time to the reported ‘initial RH of packaging system’, similar to a cosine curve. For this reason, the simulations are less accurate during early stages of the testing, and do not account for the lag time in desorption/adsorption of the drug product and adsorption by the desiccant. This is especially true with real-time simulations as the kinetics of the system are slower than those at accelerated conditions. As time progresses and the system becomes more stable and change is more gradual, the predictions become more accurate.

The moisture removal that occurred during preconditioning/drying of the drug products within the instrument during the testing was ~1.15 and ~1.05% at 40 °C and 25 °C, respectively. This corresponded fairly well with the moisture content reported by the company (1.21% and 1.18%). Analysing the respective isotherms in Figure 2, moisture contents of 1.15% and 1.05% approximately equate to an ERH of 29–33%. The ERH is determined by first locating the initial moisture content of the product on the Y-axis of a graphical isotherm and then following the line perpendicular to the Y-axis until the said point crosses the isotherm line. The X-coordinate of this point is determined to be the ERH. Referring to the \( a_w \) test results reported for crushed tablets in Table 1 of this report (0.298–0.337), the correlation is reasonable and within acceptable variation.

The company forwarded actual moisture content results from real-time stability testing of the drug product in 75-cc and 160-cc bottles. The water content measurements determined by LOD at given time points are presented in Table 2 (time in months).

As confirmed by the company, the time-point, \( t = 0 \), was the ‘initial’ moisture content of the product at the start of stability testing and is not truly the ‘release’ moisture content of the drug product, as there was a delay between packaging and production of tablets. Also of importance is the fact that the \( t = 0 \) data was that of the 75-cc bottle for both package configurations; a \( t = 0 \) was not measured for the product in the 160-cc bottle.

Considering the aforementioned, the initial moisture content (i.e., the moisture content measured at initiation of stability testing) for the simulations of the 75-cc bottle was considered to be 0.80%. Analysing the actual moisture results on the previous page and considering the moisture content of the product, it follows reason that the ‘initial’ moisture content of the drug product packaged in the 160-cc bottle would be >0.80%. An average value of 1.13% was utilized for simulations.

### On the go…

- Drug products subjected to degradation as a result of environmental stresses can be salvaged by using sorbents.
- Using a modelling programme to mathematically predict the stability outcomes of testing eliminates sorbent-ranging studies.
- Formulation stability can be determined with basic knowledge of the formulations and pathways of degradation.

### Figure 4

Real time stability conditions (25 °C/60% RH). 160-cc versus 75-cc comparison (simulated with various desiccant quantity).
requirements for use of the model are sorption isotherms, a known WVTR at constant internal and external RH, and some basic knowledge of the formulations and pathways of degradation.
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